## Editorial

## Dermatoglyphics: a tool for dental caries predictor?

## Andrea Ribeiro Lips Soares<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade da Região de Joinville, pós-doutoranda do programa de Saude e Meio Ambiente da Univille – Joinville – SC –Brasil.

The term dermatoglyphics means the study of the patterns formed by the dermal ridges at the end of the fingers and palms of the hands [10]. These dermatoglyphics' patterns have been recently considered a window for dental analysis. This is possible because the dermal ridges appear during the same time as that when tooth formation in intrauterine life begins [5, 9, 11, 13, 16]. Thereby, this editorial presents some concepts and studies that investigate dermatoglyphics as a tool for dental caries predictor.

The first aspect that should be presented to the readers is regarding the dermatoglyphics. Cummins and Midlo's methodology is considered standard in dermatoglyphics studies [7, 15]. This method consists of counting the frequency of three fingerprint stereotypes among the ten digits: loops, whorls, and arches, as shown in the Figure 1.



Figure 1 - Conventional dermatoglyphics: (A) loop, (B) whorl, (C) arch [14, 17]

The evaluation of the association between dermatoglyphics and dental caries is based on the frequency of occurrence of fingerprint patterns among subjects with high dental caries and in subjects with low dental caries or without caries, using decayed, missing, and filled teeth (DMFT)/dmft index. The results of most studies tend to show a high loop pattern in low dental caries subject groups [3-6, 8, 18, 19, 20-23], while the high caries group show more whorl patterns [1-3, 6, 18, 20, 22, 23]. The arc pattern frequency has appeared in both groups with no significant differences [2, 4, 12].

Some other investigations in this field were also performed. Veeresh *et al.* [22] evaluated the association between dental caries and dermatoglyphic patterns and their association with environmental factors such as salivary pH. Moreover, in this work caries-free people showed an inflated frequency of loops and decay score in whorls pattern subjects. The authors also observed that the total finger ridge count was higher in individuals with high DMFT scores, and salivary pH was inversely proportional to the DMFT score.

Dawasaz *et al.* [8] assessed relative tooth enamel thickness using cone beam computed tomography images and correlated it with caries and dermatoglyphics. This study observed a greater thickness of tooth enamel to lower dental caries development. In addition, loop pattern was predominant in the thicker enamel group, and microbiological analysis, as well as its association with dental caries and dermatoglyphic in children, was performed. The microbiological levels of *Streptococcus mutans* [18, 20] and *lactobacillus* [18] were evaluated qualitatively and quantitatively. They found that whorls showed a significant and positive association with caries, *S. mutans* and *lactobacillus* level [18, 20], whereas ulnar loops and total ridge count showed negative association [20].

However, some studies did not find such association between dermatoglyphic patterns and caries disease [2, 12]. The reasons for this disparity in results maybe the different classifications used among

the studies to analyze the fingerprints and the sample size of the studies [2]. Genetic and racial differences of the subjects could also explain the dissimilarity observed in the studies [12].

Dermatoglyphics patterns have the potential to be used as markers for dental caries. Dermal patterns once formed are unchanged for life and constitute the genetic basis of each person [11, 13]. Record of dermatoglyphics can be performed quickly, inexpensively, and without causing trauma to the patient. For decades, researchers have recognized dermatoglyphics as a powerful tool for medical and genetic diagnosis. The literature gives us hope in predicting dental caries using dermatoglyphics before the onset of the disease, allowing sufficient time to implement preventive measurements and personalized dental treatment.

## References

Abhilash PR, Divyashree R, Patil SG, Gupta M, Chandrasekar T, Karthikeyan R. Dermatoglyphics in patients with dental caries: a study on 1250 individuals. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2012;13(3):266-74.

Agarwal M, Alex A, Konde S. Relationship between dermatoglyphics, cheiloscopy, rugoscopy, and dental caries: a cross-sectional study in Bengaluru, Karnataka. Contemp Clin Dent. 2018;9(4):577-81.

Anitha C, Konde S, Raj NS, Kumar NC, Peethamber P. Dermatoglyphics: a genetic marker of early childhood caries. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2014;32(3):220-4.

Asif SM, Babu DB, Naheeda S. Utility of dermatoglyphic pattern in prediction of caries in children of Telangana region, India. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2017;18(6):490-6.

Atasu M. Dermatoglyphic findings in dental caries: A preliminary report. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 1998;22(2):147-9.

Chinmaya BR, Smitha BV, Shourya T, Khurana C. Dermatoglyphics: an indicator of dental caries in humans. J Indian Assoc Public Health Dent. 2016;14(3):272-5.

Cummins H, Midlo C. Fingerprints, palms and soles: an introduction to dermatoglyphics. Philadelphia: Blakiston; 1943.

Dawasaz AA, Alshahrani I, Yassin SM, Syed S, Hameed MS, Baig F, et al. Detection of dental caries' and dermatoglyphics' association with relative enamel thickness using CBCT images in Saudi subpopulation: a novel approach. Biomed Res Int. 2021;26:1-7.

Gupta V, Kumar P, Dupare R, Datta SD. Dermatoglyphics in dental caries: a review. Ind J Foren Odont. 2011;4(3-4):33-7.

Kumbnani HK. Dermatoglyphics: a review. Antropologist Special. 2007;(3):285-95.

Latti BR, Kalburge JV. Palmistry in dentistry. J Adv Med Dent Sci. 2013;1(2):25-33.

Mokhtari S, Mokhtari S, Sabour S, Hosseini Z. Evaluation of the relationship between dental caries and dermatoglyphics in 3 to 6-year-old Iranian children. Niger J Clin Pract. 2021;24(2):193-8.

Mulvihill JJ, Smith DW. The genesis of dermatoglyphics. J Pediatr. 1969;75(4):579-86.

Navit S, Chadha D, Khan SA, Singh RK, Johri N, Navit P, et al. The mystery of handprints: assessment and correlation of dermatoglyphics with early childhood caries a case-control study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2015;9(10):ZC44-8.

Palmar CH. Plantar epidermal ridge configuration (dermatoglyphics) in Europeans and Americans. Am J Phys Anthrop. 1926;179:741-802.

Preus M, Fraser FC. Dermatoglyphics and syndromes. Am J Dis Child. 1972;124(6):933-43.

Ramani P, Abhilash PR, Sherlin HJ, Anuja N, Premkumar P, Chandrasekar T, et al. Conventional dermatoglyphics-revived concept: a review. Int J Pharma Bio Sci. 2011;3(2):446-58.

Sharma A, Somani R. Dermatoglyphic interpretation of dental caries and its correlation to salivary bacteria interactions: an in vivo study. J Ind Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2009;27(1):17-21.

Sharma R, Singh NN, Sreedhar G. Dermatoglyphic findings in dental caries and their correlation with salivary levels of Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus in school-going children in and around Moradabad. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2018;22(3):360-6.

Srilatha A, Doshi D, Kulkarni S, Reddy MP, Reddy BS, Satyanarayana D. Determination and comparison of dermatoglyphic patterns and salivary Streptococcus mutans counts and its correlation with dental caries among 3- to 6-year-old children. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2018;16(3):291-7.

Uma E, Binti MNH, Binti RNA, Binti ANA, Kyaw SHH. Association between dermatoglyphics and early childhood caries among preschool children: a pilot study. Int J Appl Basic Med Res. 2021;11(3):148-53.

Veeresh T, Mujahid A, Deepu P, Sivaprakash R. Correlation between dermatoglyphics, dental caries and salivary ph: an in vivo study. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2019;29(1):929-34.

Yamunadevi A, Dineshshankar J, Banu S, Fathima N, Yoithapprabhunath TR, Maheswaran T, et al. Dermatoglyphic patterns and salivary pH in subjects with and without dental caries: a cross-sectional study. J Nat Sci Biol Med. 2015;6(2):295-9.